

# Integrable defects: an algebraic approach

Anastasia Doikou

University of Patras

Bologna, September 2011

- Based on arXiv:1106.1602. To appear in NPB.

- Integrable defects (quantum level) impose severe constraints on relevant algebraic and physical quantities (such as on scattering amplitudes) (*Delfino, Mussardo, Simonetti, Konic, LeClair, ....*)

- Integrable defects (quantum level) impose severe constraints on relevant algebraic and physical quantities (such as on scattering amplitudes) (*Delfino, Mussardo, Simonetti, Konic, LeClair, ....*)
- In discrete integrable systems there is a systematic description of local defects based on QISM.

- Integrable defects (quantum level) impose severe constraints on relevant algebraic and physical quantities (such as on scattering amplitudes) (*Delfino, Mussardo, Simonetti, Konic, LeClair, ....*)
- In discrete integrable systems there is a systematic description of local defects based on QISM.
- In integrable field theories a defect is introduced as discontinuity together with gluing conditions (*Bowcock, Corrigan, Zambon,...*), the integrability issue not systematically addressed.

- Integrable defects (quantum level) impose severe constraints on relevant algebraic and physical quantities (such as on scattering amplitudes) (*Delfino, Mussardo, Simonetti, Konic, LeClair, ....*)
- In discrete integrable systems there is a systematic description of local defects based on QISM.
- In integrable field theories a defect is introduced as discontinuity together with gluing conditions (*Bowcock, Corrigan, Zambon,...*), the integrability issue not systematically addressed.
- Aim is to develop a systematic algebraic means to investigate integrable field theories with point like defects. Recent attempts by (*Habibullin, Kundu*), but integrability still open issue

- Introduce the discrete non-linear Schrodinger model. Recall the  $L$ -matrix and the associated classical quadratic algebra. Recall the local I.M. and the Lax pair construction based on purely algebraic grounds.
- Extract local integrals of motion, the relevant Lax pairs and the corresponding equations of motion in the presence of defect.
- Consider a consistent continuum limit of the model under consideration. First glimpse on the continuum model. First step in order to compare with earlier results (*Corrigan, Zambon*).
- Discussion on possible future applications of the proposed methodology.

# The DNLS model

The DNLS Lax operator (*Kundu, Ragnisco*):

$$L(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + \mathbb{N}_j & x_j \\ -X_j & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\mathbb{N}_j = 1 - x_j X_j$  and  $\{x_i, X_j\} = \delta_{ij}$ . The  $L$  matrix satisfies the:

Classical quadratic algebra

$$\left\{ L_{an}(\lambda_1), L_{bm}(\lambda_2) \right\} = \left[ r_{ab}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2), L_{an}(\lambda_1) L_{bm}(\lambda_2) \right] \delta_{nm}.$$

The classical  $r$  matrix satisfies the CYBE (*Semenov-Tian-Shansky*)

$$\boxed{[r_{12}, r_{13}] + [r_{12}, r_{23}] + [r_{13}, r_{23}] = 0.}$$

The classical  $r$ -matrix in this case in the Yangian (*Yang*):  $r(\lambda) = \frac{\mathcal{P}}{\lambda}$ .

# The DNLS model

The one-dimensional DNLS model; the generating function of all integrals of motion:

The transfer matrix

$$t(\lambda) = Tr_a T_a(\lambda) \quad \text{where} \quad T_a(\lambda) = L_{aN}(\lambda)L_{aN-1}(\lambda) \dots L_{a1}(\lambda).$$

$T$  is the monodromy matrix also satisfying the quadratic algebra.  
Expansion of the log of the  $t(\lambda)$  provides the *local* IM:

$$H_1 = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{N}_i,$$

$$H_2 = - \sum_{i=1}^N x_{i+1} X_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{N}_i^2$$

$$H_3 = - \sum_{i=1}^N x_{i+2} X_i + \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathbb{N}_i + \mathbb{N}_{i+1}) x_{i+1} X_i + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{N}_i^3.$$



# Lax pair formulation

Introduce the Lax pair  $(L, \mathbb{A})$  for discrete integrable models, and the associated discrete:

## Auxiliary linear problem

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{j+1} &= L_j \psi_j \\ \dot{\psi}_j &= \mathbb{A}_j \psi_j.\end{aligned}$$

From the latter equations one may immediately obtain the discrete zero curvature condition as a compatibility condition:

## Zero curvature condition

$$\dot{L}_j = \mathbb{A}_{j+1} L_j - L_j \mathbb{A}_j.$$

Based on the underlying algebras construct the Lax pair.

# Lax pair formulation

Necessary first to formulate, using the classical algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \ln t(\lambda), L_{bj}(\mu) \right\} &= t^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}_a \left( T_a(N, j+1; \lambda) r_{ab}(\lambda - \mu) T_a(j, 1; \lambda) \right) L_{bj}(\mu) \\ &\quad - L_{bj}(\mu) t^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}_a \left( T_a(N, j; \lambda) r_{ab}(\lambda - \mu) T_a(j-1, 1; \lambda) \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Notation:*  $T(i, j; \lambda) = L_i(\lambda) \dots L_j(\lambda)$ ,  $i > j$ .

Recalling the classical equations of motion

$$\dot{L}_j(\mu) = \left\{ \ln t(\lambda), L_j(\mu) \right\},$$

and comparing the latter expressions we have:

The  $\mathbb{A}$ -operator

$$\mathbb{A}_j(\lambda, \mu) = t^{-1}(\lambda) \operatorname{tr}_a \left[ T_a(N, j; \lambda) r_{ab}(\lambda - \mu) T_a(j-1, 1; \lambda) \right]$$

Substituting the Yangian  $r$ -matrix into the latter expression:

The  $\mathbb{A}$ -operator (Yangian)

$$\mathbb{A}_j(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{t^{-1}(\lambda)}{\lambda - \mu} T(j-1, 1; \lambda) T(N, j; \lambda).$$

Expand the latter expression in powers of  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  to obtain the Lax pairs associated to each one of the I.M.:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}_j^{(1)}(\mu) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \mathbb{A}_j^{(2)}(\mu) &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu & x_j \\ -X_{j-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbb{A}_j^{(3)} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu^2 + x_j X_{j-1} & \mu x_j - x_j \mathbb{N}_j + x_{j+1} \\ -\mu X_{j-1} + X_{j-1} \mathbb{N}_{j-1} - X_{j-2} & -x_j X_{j-1} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Zero curvature condition leads to E.M.

# The Defect

Introduce the defect located on site  $n$ :

$$\tilde{L}_{an} = \lambda + \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n & \beta_n \\ \gamma_n & \delta_n \end{pmatrix}$$

the index  $n$  denotes the position of the defect on the one dimensional spin chain. The entries of the above  $\tilde{L}$  matrix may be parameterized as:

$$\alpha_n = -\delta_n = \frac{1}{2} \cos(2\theta_n), \quad \beta_n = \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\theta_n) e^{2i\phi_n}, \quad \gamma_n = \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\theta_n) e^{-2i\phi_n}$$

It is shown via the quadratic algebra that  $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n, \delta_n$  satisfy:

$$\{\alpha_n, \beta_n\} = \beta_n$$

$$\{\alpha_n, \gamma_n\} = -\gamma_n$$

$$\{\beta_n, \gamma_n\} = 2\alpha_n$$

typical  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  exchange relations.

# The Defect

Inserting the defect at the  $n$  site of the one dimensional lattice the corresponding monodromy matrix is expressed as:

## Monodromy with defect

$$T_a(\lambda) = L_{aN}(\lambda)L_{aN-1}(\lambda)\dots\tilde{L}_{an}(\lambda)\dots L_{a1}(\lambda).$$

The  $\tilde{L}$ -operator is required to satisfy the same fundamental algebraic relation as the monodromy matrix, so

$$t(\lambda) = \text{tr}T(\lambda)$$

provides a family of Poisson commuting operators. Model *integrable* by construction.

# Local Integrals of motion

Expansion of the log of the transfer matrix in powers of  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  provides the local I.M. Give here the first three:

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \sum_{j \neq n} \mathbb{N}_j + \alpha_n$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = - \sum_{j \neq n, n-1} x_{j+1} X_j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq n} \mathbb{N}_j^2 - x_{n+1} X_{n-1} - \beta_n X_{n-1} + \gamma_n x_{n+1} - \frac{\alpha_n^2}{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_3 = & - \sum_{j \neq n, n \pm 1} x_{j+1} X_{j-1} + \sum_{j \neq n, n-1} (\mathbb{N}_j + \mathbb{N}_{j+1}) x_{j+1} X_j + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j \neq n} \mathbb{N}_j^3 \\ & + \tilde{x}_{n,n+1} \mathbb{N}_{n-1} X_{n-1} + \tilde{X}_{n,n-1} x_{n+1} \mathbb{N}_{n+1} + \alpha_n \tilde{x}_{n,n+1} X_{n-1} \\ & + \alpha_n \tilde{X}_{n,n-1} x_{n+1} - \tilde{x}_{n,n+1} X_{n-2} - x_{n+2} \tilde{X}_{n,n-1} + \frac{\alpha_n^3}{3} \end{aligned}$$

# The associated Lax pair

The generic expression of Lax pairs, and expansion leads to: Lax pair  $\mathbb{A}_j^{(1)}$  the same as in the bulk for all sites,  $\mathbb{A}_j^{(2)}$  for  $j \neq n, n+1$  is given by the bulk, but

$$\mathbb{A}_n^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & \beta_n + x_{n+1} \\ -X_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{A}_{n+1}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & x_{n+1} \\ \gamma_n - X_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\mathbb{A}_j^{(3)}$  for  $j \neq n, n \pm 1, n+2$  is given by the bulk and:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}_{n-1}^{(3)} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu^2 + x_{n-1}X_{n-2} & \mu x_{n-1} + \tilde{x}_{n,n+1} - \mathbb{N}_{n-1}x_{n-1} \\ -\mu X_{n-2} - X_{n-3} + \mathbb{N}_{n-2}X_{n-2} & -X_{n-2}x_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbb{A}_n^{(3)} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu^2 + \tilde{x}_{n,n+1}X_{n-1} & \mu \tilde{x}_{n,n+1} + x_{n+1} - \mathbb{N}_{n+1}x_{n+1} + \mathbf{f} \\ -\mu X_{n-1} - X_{n-2} + \mathbb{N}_{n-1}X_{n-1} & -\tilde{x}_{n,n+1}X_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbb{A}_{n+1}^{(3)} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu^2 + x_{n+1}\tilde{X}_{n,n-1} & \mu x_{n+1} + x_{n+2} - \mathbb{N}_{n+1}x_{n+1} \\ -\mu \tilde{X}_{n,n-1} - X_{n-1} + \mathbb{N}_{n-1}X_{n-1} + \mathbf{g} & -\tilde{X}_{n,n-1}x_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbb{A}_{n+2}^{(3)} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu^2 + x_{n+2}X_{n+1} & \mu x_{n+2} + x_{n+3} - \mathbb{N}_{n+2}x_{n+2} \\ -\mu X_{n+1} - \tilde{X}_{n,n-1} + \mathbb{N}_{n+1}X_{n+1} & -X_{n+1}x_{n+2} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

For  $j \neq n$ ,  $n \pm 1$ ,  $n \pm 2$  E.M. provided by the bulk equation, whereas for the points around the impurity are suitably modified. On the defect point in particular:

Zero curvature for defect point

$$\check{L}_n(\lambda) = \mathbb{A}_{n+1}(\lambda) \tilde{L}_n(\lambda) - \tilde{L}_n(\lambda) \mathbb{A}_n(\lambda)$$

and the entailed equations of motion for the defect point are completely modified due to the presence of the defect degrees of freedom.



# The continuum limit

Introduce the spacing parameter  $\Delta$  in the  $L$ -matrix of the discrete NLS model as well as in the  $\tilde{L}$  matrix of the defect:

$$L(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \Delta\lambda - \Delta^2 x X & \Delta x \\ -\Delta X & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\tilde{L}(\lambda) = \Delta\lambda + \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

where we now define:

$$\alpha = -\delta = \frac{1}{2} \cos(2\Delta\theta), \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\Delta\theta) e^{2i\phi}, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\Delta\theta) e^{-2i\phi},$$

also

$$\theta e^{2i\phi} = y, \quad \theta e^{-2i\phi} = Y,$$

# The continuum limit

Let us first introduce the following notation. In particular, we set:

## Identifications

$$\begin{aligned}x_j &\rightarrow x^-(x), & X_j &\rightarrow X^-(x), & 1 \leq j \leq n-1, & x \in (-\infty, x_0) \\x_j &\rightarrow x^+(x), & X_j &\rightarrow X^+(x), & n+1 \leq j \leq N, & x \in (x_0, \infty).\end{aligned}$$

where  $x_0$  is the defect position in the continuum theory. To perform the continuum limit we bear in mind:

## The limit

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f_j &\rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{x_0^-} dx f^-(x) \\ \Delta \sum_{j=n+1}^N f_j &\rightarrow \int_{x_0^+}^{\infty} dx f^+(x).\end{aligned}$$

Also:  $f_{j+1} \rightarrow f(x + \Delta)$

# The continuum limit

The continuum limit of the first integral of motion is then given as:

The first charge

$$\mathcal{H}^{(1)} = - \int_{-\infty}^{x_0^-} dx x^-(x) X^-(x) - \int_{x_0^+}^{\infty} dx x^+(x) X^+(x).$$

The first integral proportional to  $\Delta$ , whereas the second one of order  $\Delta^2$ .  
The respective continuum quantity reads as:

The second charge

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{(2)} = & - \int_{-\infty}^{x_0^-} dx x^{-\prime}(x) X^-(x) - \int_{x_0^+}^{\infty} dx x^{+\prime}(x) X^+(x) + \frac{1}{2} y(x_0) Y(x_0) \\ & + x^-(x_0) X^-(x_0) - x^+(x_0) X^-(x_0) + x^+(x_0) Y(x_0) - y(x_0) X^-(x_0) \end{aligned}$$

the prime denotes derivative with respect to  $x$ .

# The continuum limit

Consider the identifications:

$$L_n \rightarrow 1 + \Delta U(x), \quad \mathbb{A}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(x), \quad \mathbb{A}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(x + \Delta)$$

The discrete zero curvature condition:

$$\dot{L}_j = \mathbb{A}_{j+1} L_j - L_j \mathbb{A}_j.$$

Then takes the familiar continuum form:

Continuum zero curvature

$$\dot{U} - \mathbb{V}' + [U, \mathbb{V}] = 0.$$

We have kept terms proportional to  $\Delta$  in the discrete zero curvature condition.

# The continuum limit

The Lax pair associated to the first integral coincides with the bulk one.  
The Lax pair associated to the second integral of motion is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{V}^{(2)}(\mu, x) &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu & x^-(x) \\ -X^-(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix} & x \in (-\infty, x_0^-], \\ \mathbb{V}^{(2)}(\mu, x) &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu & x^+(x) \\ -X^+(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix} & x \in (x_0^+, \infty) \\ \mathbb{V}^{(2)}(\mu, x_0) &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu & x^+(x_0) + y(x_0) \\ -X^-(x_0) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbb{V}^{(2)}(\mu, x_0^+) &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu & x^+(x_0) \\ Y(x_0) - X^-(x_0) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Due to continuity requirements at the points  $x_0^+$ ,  $x_0^-$ , we end up with the following sewing conditions associated to the defect point:

## Sewing conditions

$$\begin{aligned}y(x_0) &= x^-(x_0) - x^+(x_0), \\ Y(x_0) &= X^-(x_0) - X^+(x_0).\end{aligned}$$



# The continuum limit

- The continuity argument successfully applied to the points around the defect; a discontinuity (jump) is observed on the defect point. At  $x_0$  (i.e.  $L \rightarrow \tilde{L}$ ), leading to discontinuity in the zero curvature condition at  $x_0$ .
- It is straightforward to show that if the sewing conditions are valid then:

## Commutativity

$$\{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2\} = 0$$

- A first indication of the preservation of the integrability in the continuum case. In the discrete an ultra local algebra;  $t$  in the continuum limit possibly a generalized non-ultra local algebra to efficiently describe the point like defect at  $x_0$ .

# Systematic construction

Recall

$$L_{aj} = 1 + \delta \mathbb{U}_{aj} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2) ,$$

Then the monodromy matrix is expanded as:

$$T_a = 1 + \delta \sum_i \mathbb{U}_{ai} + \delta^2 \sum_{i < j} \mathbb{U}_{ai} \mathbb{U}_{aj} + \dots .$$

Which leads to the familiar continuum expression

The continuum monodromy

$$\mathcal{T} = P \exp \left( \int_0^A dx \mathbb{U}(x) \right)$$

Then the discrete monodromy matrix in the presence of defect:

$$T_a(\lambda) = L_{aN}(\lambda) \dots \tilde{L}_{an}(\lambda) \dots L_{a1}(\lambda)$$

according to previous analysis  $T$  will be formally expressed at the continuum limit:

The defect monodromy

$$\mathcal{T}(\lambda) = P \exp \left( \int_0^{x_0^-} dx \mathbb{U}^-(x) \right) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda) P \exp \left( \int_{x_0^+}^A dx \mathbb{U}^+(x) \right)$$

Algebraic constraints on  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ ? Non ultra-locality ensued?



- Examine higher integrals of motion and check their involution in the continuum limit. Check also the consistency of higher gluing conditions (higher derivatives are involved).

- Examine higher integrals of motion and check their involution in the continuum limit. Check also the consistency of higher gluing conditions (higher derivatives are involved).
- Systematically establish the underlying Poisson structure governing this type of models. Integrability then naturally follows.

- Examine higher integrals of motion and check their involution in the continuum limit. Check also the consistency of higher gluing conditions (higher derivatives are involved).
- Systematically establish the underlying Poisson structure governing this type of models. Integrability then naturally follows.
- Extend the study to other discrete integrable models associated e.g. to (an)isotropic Heisenberg chains, and higher rank generalizations.

# Discussion

- Examine higher integrals of motion and check their involution in the continuum limit. Check also the consistency of higher gluing conditions (higher derivatives are involved).
- Systematically establish the underlying Poisson structure governing this type of models. Integrability then naturally follows.
- Extend the study to other discrete integrable models associated e.g. to (an)isotropic Heisenberg chains, and higher rank generalizations.
- At the quantum level: derive the associated transmission amplitudes via the Bethe ansatz equations.